

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com





Journal of Molecular Catalysis A: Chemical 284 (2008) 89-96

www.elsevier.com/locate/molcata

Characterization of Lewis acidity of cation-exchanged montmorillonite K-10 clay as effective heterogeneous catalyst for acetylation of alcohol

Ken-ichi Shimizu^{a,*}, Tomoya Higuchi^a, Emi Takasugi^b, Tsuyoshi Hatamachi^b, Tatsuya Kodama^b, Atsushi Satsuma^a

 ^a Department of Molecular Design and Engineering, Graduate School of Engineering, Nagoya University, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464-8603, Japan
 ^b Department of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Niigata University, Ikarashi-2, Niigata 950-2181, Japan

Received 7 June 2007; received in revised form 10 January 2008; accepted 11 January 2008 Available online 18 January 2008

Abstract

Catalytic activity of 14 types of metal ion-exchanged montmorillonite K-10 clay (M^{n+} -mont) is tested for the acetylation of cyclohexanol with acetic anhydride at room temperature. The activity increases with an increase in the charge/radius ratio of exchanged cation. The activity of Fe³⁺-mont is the highest and is higher than those of other Fe³⁺ catalysts, FeCl₃·6H₂O and FeCl₃/SiO₂, conventional acid catalysts, Al₂O₃ and HZSM5, and homogeneous Lewis acid, Sc(OTf)₃. Fe³⁺-mont exhibits a high turnover numbers (TON = 49,500) and can be recycled without a loss in activity. Acidity of Fe³⁺-mont, Yb³⁺-mont and FeCl₃/SiO₂ was characterized by IR spectroscopy using three probe molecules: pyridine, acetonitrile and acetone. These catalysts dominantly act as Lewis acid, and the Lewis acid strength changes in the order of Fe³⁺-mont > Yb³⁺-mont > FeCl₃/SiO₂, as suggested by acetone adsorption microcalorimety. From the relationship between the activity and the acidic character, factors affecting the catalytic activity for the acetylation are discussed.

© 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Acetylation; Montmorillonite K-10 clay; FTIR; Microcalorimety

1. Introduction

The acetylation of alcohols is a fundamental process in organic chemistry and provides a cheap and efficient means for protecting OH groups during oxidation, peptide coupling and glycosidation reactions. A variety of procedures follow the transesterification methodology, routinely performed under homogeneous catalysis with acetic acid or acetyl chloride and anhydride in the presence of a convenient basic (amine, DMAP) or acidic (toluene-*p*-sulfonic acid) catalyst [1,2]. Recently, transition metal- or lanthanide complexes-based Lewis acids, such as Sc(OTf)₃, Sn(OTf)₂, and Cu(OTf)₂, have been developed as chemoselective and active catalysts for the acetylation of alcohols with acetic anhydride under mild reaction conditions [3–5]. When industrially applied, however, homogeneous cata-

lysts generally bear the problems of catalyst–product separation and wasted inorganics which are hardly reused. Immobilization of Lewis acid on inorganic supports or use of heterogeneous acid catalysts [6–8] can overcome these problems.

Acidic clays are widely used as catalysts in organic syntheses [7–18]. Their acid-properties and, thus, their catalytic performance depend on the exchanged cations. Water molecules in the hydration sphere of metal cations can dissociate to produce acidic protons (Brønsted acids). When the coordination of an organic compound to the metal cation is accompanied by expulsion of the water coordinating to the metal cation, the metal cation is electron pair accepters and can potentially act as Lewis acid catalyst [11,12,17]. Thus, the clay the proton of which is exchanged for a metal cation with high hydration enthalpy, such as Fe³⁺, can be a solid acid exhibiting both Brønsted and Lewis acidity, depending on the nature of the reactant molecule. The strength of Lewis acid sites can be increased by changing the exchangeable cation, and many workers have reported that Fe³⁺-exchanged clays exhibit the strongest Lewis acid sites [12–17].

^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +81 52 789 3191; fax: +81 52 789 3193. *E-mail address:* kshimizu@apchem.nagoya-u.ac.jp (K.-i. Shimizu).

^{1381-1169/\$ -} see front matter © 2008 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.molcata.2008.01.013

On the other hand, acid activated clays, montmorillonite K-10 and KSF, have been reported as a remarkable catalyst for acetylation of various alcohols and phenols with acetic anhydride [8]. Knowing the fact that acid activated clays are not stable in the H⁺-exchanged form and their auto-transformation results in the gradual dissolution of metal ions, such as Al^{3+} and Fe³⁺, from the lattice which take the place of H⁺ ions in cation exchange sites [18], one hypothesizes that catalytic activity of K-10 and KSF clays for acetylation reaction could originate from the auto-exchanged cations.

In this study, we show the effect of exchanged cations in montmorillonite K-10 on acid-properties and catalytic performance for acetylation of cyclohexanol with acetic anhydride as a test reaction. For Fe³⁺-exchanged montmorillonite K-10 (Fe³⁺mont) as the most active catalyst, catalytic behavior as well as the recycling characteristics is presented to exemplify the effectiveness of this catalytic system. Using probe molecules sensitive to Lewis acid, acetonitrile [19–22] and acetone [23–25], IR and microcalorimetric experiments are conducted for characterizing acidity of each catalyst, and relationship between the acidity and the catalytic activity is discussed.

2. Experimental

2.1. Catalyst preparation and characterization

Montmorillonite K-10 clay purchased from Aldrich has surface area (S_{BET}) of 220 m² g⁻¹ and the following chemical composition (average value): SiO₂ (73.0%), Al₂O₃ (14.0%), Fe₂O₃ (2.7%), CaO (0.2%), MgO (1.1%), Na₂O (0.6%), K₂O (1.9%) [26]. The basal (001) reflection was not observed in the XRD pattern of K-10, which confirms the delamination of the layered structure of the montmorillonite as reported in

 Table 1

 Properties and acylation rates of Mⁿ⁺-mont catalysts

the literature [11]. Amorphous silica (JRC-SIO-8, a reference catalyst of the Catalysis Society of Japan, $S_{BET} = 303 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$), Al₂O₃ (JRC-ALO-8, surface area = 148 m² g⁻¹), and HZSM5 zeolite (JRC-Z5-25H, SiO₂/Al₂O₃ = 25) were supplied from the Catalysis Society of Japan. Ion-exchanged K-10 clay samples (M^{*n*+}-mont) were prepared by treating the support with aqueous solution of metal salts for 3 h at ca. 300 K, followed by centrifuging and washing with deionized water, and by drying in vacuo at 300 K. The metal content in each sample was determined by ICP analysis and listed in Table 1. FeCl₃·6H₂O supported on silica gel (FeCl₃/SiO₂, Fe = 0.1 mmol g⁻¹) was prepared by mixing the supports with aqueous solution of FeCl₃·6H₂O, followed by a complete removal of the solvent at 353 K.

FTIR measurement of adsorbed base molecules on various solid acids was performed with JASCO FT/IR-620. The sample was pressed into ca. 0.03 g of self-supporting wafers and mounted into a quartz IR cell with CaF₂ windows, and the sample was evacuated under vacuum at 423 K for 1 h. A base molecule, pyridine (15 Torr), CD₃CN (10 Torr) or acetone (30 Torr), was dosed on the evacuated samples from the gas phase through a vacuum manifold directly connected to the cell, followed by evacuation at a fixed temperature for 0.5 h. Then, a difference spectrum was recorded at room temperature. CD₃CN was used instead of CH₃CN to avoid the well-known spectroscopic complication due to Fermi resonance between the ν (CN) vibration and the combination mode δ (CH₃) + ν (CC) [19–22].

Microcalorimetric measurement of acetone adsorption was performed at 323 K using a calorimeter (Tokyo Rikou Co., HAC-450G) connected to a volumetric glass line (308 K) with on-line injection system for pulsing acetone gas. Before the adsorption experiments the sample (0.1 g) was pretreated under vacuum for 1 h at 423 K.

Cations	Metal source ^a	Loading ^b (mmol g^{-1})	Exchange level ^c (%)	e/r ^d	EN ^e	$Rate^{f} (mmol h^{-1} g^{-1})$	$TOF^{g}(h^{-1})$	Leachingh (%)
Hf ⁴⁺	HfCl ₄	0.093	106	4.7	11.7	380	4100	1.8
Al ³⁺	AlCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O	0.082	70	4.4	10.5	120	1400	0
Fe ³⁺	FeCl ₃ .6H ₂ O	0.103	88	4.3	12.6	580	5600	1.5
Ce ⁴⁺	$Ce(NH_4)_2(NO_3)_6$	0.107	122	3.7	9.9	65	610	0
Yb ³⁺	YbCl ₃ ·6H ₂ O	0.103	88	3.5	8.4	200	1900	0
Sc ³⁺	ScCl ₃ .6H ₂ O	0.094	81	3.4	9.1	170	1800	0
Y ³⁺	YCl ₃ .6H ₂ O	0.110	94	2.9	8.4	122	1100	0
Mn ²⁺	MnCl ₂ ·4H ₂ O	0.087	50	2.8	7.5	6	70	0
La ³⁺	LaCl ₃ ·7H ₂ O	0.126	108	2.6	7.7	28	220	1.5
Co ²⁺	CoCl ₂ ·6H ₂ O	0.110	63	2.5	9.0	19	170	0
Ni ²⁺	NiCl ₂ .6H ₂ O	0.091	52	2.4	9.0	10	100	1.1
Zn ²⁺	ZnCl ₂	0.153	87	2.3	8.0	50	330	0.2
Pb ²⁺	PbCl ₂	0.142	81	1.5	9.0	22	160	0.1
Na ⁺	NaCl	0.350	100	0.9	2.7	3	8	-

^a Metal source for cation exchange of montmorillonite K-10.

^b Metal loading determined by ICP.

^c Cation exchange level estimated by adopting the CEC of K-10 clay as 0.35 mmol g⁻¹[11].

^d The ratio of charge and ionic radius (e/r).

^e Electronegativity of cation [30].

^f Initial rate for the acetylation of cyclohexanol (5 mmol) with acetic anhydride (6 mmol) at room temperature in $CH_2Cl_2(1 \text{ cm}^3)$ with 0.05 mol% ($M^{n+}=0.0025 \text{ mmol}$) of M^{n+} -mont catalyst.

^g Turnover frequency estimated from the initial rate and metal loading.

^h Percentage of the metal cation leached from Mⁿ⁺-mont catalysts to the solution after acylation reaction.



Scheme 1.

2.2. Catalytic test

The acetylation of cyclohexanol with acetic anhydride (Scheme 1) was carried out by stirring the reaction mixture containing cyclohexanol (5 mmol), acetic anhydride (6 mmol), CH₂Cl₂ (1 cm³) and catalysts (typically, 0.05 mol% of metal cation with respect to cyclohexanol) at room temperature in air (in the presence of moisture). The solid catalysts were used without any pretreatment. Progress of the reaction was monitored by GC analysis of aliquots using *n*-decane as internal standard.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Catalytic tests on acetylation

For the acetylation of cyclohexanol with acetic anhydride was tested by montmorillonite K-10 the proton of which is exchanged for 14 types of metal cations listed in Table 1. Each catalyst showed a high yield of acetylation product (above 92%) and cyclohexanol conversion (100%) after 24 h except for Mn²⁺ (74% yield) and Na⁺ (5% yield) catalysts (results not shown). No by-products, such as cyclohexene, were observed by GC analysis. The inactive nature of Na⁺-mont means that the catalytically active sites in M^{n+} -mont catalysts must be the exchanged cations. Fig. 1A shows the time course of the acetylation reaction with selected M^{n+} -mont catalysts. The results show that the reaction rates depend strongly on the type of metal cation and changes in the order $Fe^{3+} > Yb^{3+} > Zn^{2+} > Pb^{2+} > Ni^{2+} > Na^+$. The rate per gram of catalyst and the intrinsic rate per metal center, i.e. turnover frequency (TOF) are summarized in Table 1. After each experiment, the percentage of metal cations leached out from the solid catalyst to the solution was determined by ICP analysis of the filtrate after the reaction in Table 1. The results show that excellent to moderate resistivity of M^{n+} -mont catalysts against metal leaching (0-1.8% leaching). Together with a significant influence of metal cation on the reaction rate, it is shown that the acetylation activity of Mⁿ⁺-mont catalysts originates from metal cations supported on the clay. Rate per gram of catalyst and the intrinsic rate per metal center (TOF) were plotted as a function of the ratio of charge and ionic radius [27-29], e/r, and the electronegativity of cations [30] in Fig. 2. Although there are some deviations from the curves, there are general tendencies for TOF and the reaction rate per gram of catalyst to increase with increase in the *e*/*r* value and the electronegativity of cations. The ratio e/r is an approximate measure for the Lewis acidity of metal cations [28,29], and the electronegativity of cation has been used as a parameter of electron-withdrawing ability of metal cation. Hence, it is suggested that higher Lewis acidity results in a higher reaction rate. To investigate the effect of clay support, the catalytic activity of Fe³⁺-mont was compared with those of FeCl₃·6H₂O (a homogeneous catalyst), FeCl₃/SiO₂ and conventional acids (Table 2). With conventional Brønsted acid (HZSM5 zeolite) and Lewis acid (Al₂O₃) no or only low yields (7%) were obtained. Among Fe catalysts, the yield and rate per Fe (TOF) depended strongly on the support and increased in the order of Fe^{3+} -mont \gg FeCl₃/SiO₂ > FeCl₃·6H₂O. Reusability of the Fe³⁺-mont catalyst was tested. After the first run, the catalyst can be easily separated from the reaction mixture by a simple centrifugation and can be recycled at least four times with almost quantitative yields kept without any reactivation-treatment. The initial rate did not markedly decrease in the catalyst recycle tests $(TOF = 5600 \text{ and } 4300 \text{ h}^{-1} \text{ for cycles 1 and 5, respectively})$. It is noteworthy that the heterogeneous catalyst, Fe³⁺-mont, showed higher TOF than Sc(OTf)₃, one of the most effective homogeneous catalyst for this reaction [3]. Fig. 1B shows the time course of the acetylation of cyclohexanol over Fe³⁺-mont with a low catalyst concentration (Fe = 0.002 mol%). A complete conversion of cyclohexanol, 99% yield of the product (99% selectivity), and a high TON (49,500) were attained, indicating the high activity and durability of Fe³⁺-mont.



Fig. 1. (A) Plot of GC yield vs. time for acetylation of cyclohexanol with acetic anhydride by M^{n+} -mont catalysts. Conditions are shown in Table 1. (B) Cyclohexanol conversion (+) and yield of acetylation products (\Box) for acetylation of cyclohexanol (50 mmol) with acetic anhydride (60 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 cm³) at room temperature by Fe³⁺-mont (Fe = 0.002 mol%).



Fig. 2. Rate per gram of catalyst and the intrinsic rate per metal center (TOF) as a function of the ratio of charge and ionic radius (e/r) of the cation or electronegativity of the cation [30] in M^{n+} -mont.

3.2. IR spectra of adsorbed pyridine

IR spectra of pyridine adsorbed on various catalysts in the ring-stretching region are shown in Fig. 3. Samples were first evacuated at 423 K for 0.5 h. All spectra of adsorbed species are background subtracted and are obtained after contact with

Table 2	
Acetylation of cyclohexanol with acetic anhydride ^a	

Entry	Catalysts	Yield (%)	$Rate^{b} \ (mol \ h^{-1} \ g^{-1})$	$TOF^{c}(h^{-1})$
1	Fe ³⁺ -mont	98, 98 ^d , 96 ^d , 95 ^d , 99 ^d	580	5600
2	FeCl ₃ /SiO ₂	21	80	71
3	FeCl ₃ /6H ₂ O ^e	3	-	10
4	$Al_2O_3^{f}$	0	0	_
5	H-ZSM-5 ^f	7	0.6	_
6	Sc(OTf)3 ^{e,g}	91	-	910

^a Reaction was performed with cyclohexanol (5 mmol), acetic anhydride (6 mmol), CH_2CI_2 (1 cm³) at room temperature with 0.05 mol% of Fe catalysts. Reaction time was 6 h except for Fe^{3+} -mont (t = 1 h) and $Sc(OTf)_3$ (t = 2 h).

^b Initial rate for the acetylation.

^c Turnover frequency estimated from the initial rate and metal loading.

^d Yields in the first, second, third and fourth repeated reuses of the same catalyst.

^e CH₃CN (1 cm³) was used as solvent.

^f Amount of the catalyst was 0.03 g.

^g Amount of the catalyst was 0.05 mol%.

pyridine vapor (15 Torr) at 300 K for 0.5 h and successive evacuation at 473 K for 0.5 h. According to the literature of pyridine adsorption on montmorillonite K-10 clay [11,14,15] and metal oxides [19,21,22,31], the bands are assigned in the following



Fig. 3. IR spectra of pyridine adsorbed on various catalysts after outgassing at 473 K. Samples were pre-evacuated at 423 K prior to pyridine adsorption at 300 K.



Fig. 4. IR spectra of CD_3CN adsorbed on various catalysts after outgassing at each temperature. Samples were pre-evacuated at 423 K prior to CD_3CN adsorption at 300 K.

way. Pyridinium ion (PyH⁺) produced by the reaction of pyridine with Brønsted acid site shows bands near 1537 and 1637 cm^{-1} . Coordinatively bound pyridine on Lewis acid site shows bands at 1450 and 1610 cm⁻¹. Physisorbed or hydrogen-bonded pyridine shows bands at 1440 and $1597 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. The band around 1490 cm⁻¹ is common to vibrations due to PyH⁺ and coordinatively bound pyridine. Bands assignable to coordinatively bound pyridine were observed for Fe^{3+} -mont (1450 and 1610 cm⁻¹), Yb³⁺-mont (1445 and 1602 cm⁻¹) and FeCl₃/SiO₂ (1450 and 1618 cm^{-1}). These bands are stable to outgassing at 473 K. This indicates that these bands are not assignable to hydrogen-bonded pyridine on metal oxides, which is known to be unstable to outgassing at temperature as low as 370 K [30]. The above assignment is also supported by comparison with IR experiments of pyridine dosed on Al₂O₃ (a solid characterized by strong Lewis acid sites), where bands at 1610 and $1450 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ were observed. In the spectra of Fe³⁺-mont, Yb³⁺-mont and FeCl₃/SiO₂, the intensity of the band due to PyH^+ (1540 cm⁻¹) was very weak. From these results, it is found that acid sites of Fe³⁺-mont, Yb³⁺mont and FeCl₃/SiO₂ are predominantly Lewis acidic in nature. This means that the metal cation acts as electron pair accepters and can potentially act as Lewis acid catalyst.

3.3. IR spectra of adsorbed CD₃CN

Fig. 4 shows IR spectra in the CN stretching region obtained after acetonitrile-d3 (CD₃CN) adsorption on various catalysts pre-evacuated at 423 K for 0.5 h. All spectra were obtained after contact with CD₃CN vapor (10 Torr) at 300 K for 0.5 h and successive evacuation at a certain temperature for 0.5 h. Acetonitrile is sensitive to Lewis acid strength; electron withdrawal from the

nitrogen lone pair upon coordination leads to an increase in the ν (CN) frequency [21]. Based on the previous band assignments for CD₃CN adsorbed on Al₂O₃ and zeolites [19-21], bands at 2315-2340, 2300, 2273 and 2250 cm^{-1} are attributed to interaction of the nitrile group with Al³⁺ Lewis acid sites, strong Brønsted acid sites on zeolite, SiOH groups, and the asymmetric stretching vibration of physisorbed CD₃CN, respectively. Bonino et al. [22] reported IR study of CD₃CN adsorbed on TS-1 catalyst and assigned the band at 2302 cm^{-1} to a direct interaction of CN with Ti(IV) which acts as a medium-strength Lewis site. As shown in Fig. 4, bands at 2304 and 2272 cm^{-1} were observed in the spectra for Fe³⁺-mont, Yb³⁺-mont and FeCl₃/SiO₂. For Fe³⁺-mont and Yb³⁺-mont, an additional shoulder band was observed at 2330 cm⁻¹. Taking into account the result of pyridine adsorption IR (Fig. 3), which indicate that these three solids are Lewis acidic in nature, the bands at 2304 and $2330 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ can be attributed to interaction of the CN group with Lewis acid sites with medium and strong acid strength, respectively. The band at 2272 cm^{-1} is assigned to interaction of the CN group with SiOH groups. Outgassing the samples at higher temperature causes an intensity decrease in the bands due to CD₃CN coordinated to M^{n+} (2330, 2304 cm⁻¹) and SiOH groups (2272 cm^{-1}) . The band intensity at 2304 cm^{-1} is plotted as a function of outgassing temperature in Fig. 5. After outgassing at 473 K, the band at 2304 cm⁻¹ completely disappeared for FeCl₃/SiO₂, whereas this band was still observed for M^{n+} -mont, indicating the high Lewis acid strength of M^{n+} mont compared to FeCl₃/SiO₂. From desorption experiments of Fe³⁺-mont and Yb³⁺-mont at increasing temperatures, it clearly appears that coordinated species characterized by the band at $2330 \,\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ were more strongly adsorbed than those correspond-



Fig. 5. Band intensity for CD_3CN adsorbed on Lewis acid site at 2304 cm⁻¹ (from Fig. 4) and that for acetone coordinated to Lewis acid sites at 1696 cm⁻¹ (from Fig. 7) as a function of outgassing temperature.

ing to the band at 2304 cm⁻¹, since the intensity of the latter preferentially decreased with temperature. This supports the above band assignment: CD₃CN species with higher wavenumber (2330 cm⁻¹) is coordinated to Lewis acid sites with higher acid strength than those coordinated to medium-strength Lewis sites (2304 cm⁻¹). The higher thermal stability of coordinated species on Fe³⁺-mont than those on FeCl₃/SiO₂ as well as the presence of coordinated species with higher wavenumber (2330 cm⁻¹) indicate that Lewis acid strength of Fe³⁺ on the clay is higher than that on SiO₂.

Fig. 6 (solid line) shows the spectrum obtained after CD_3CN adsorption on Fe³⁺-mont pre-evacuated at 300 K for 0.5 h. A band due to CD_3CN coordinated to medium-strength Lewis acid site (2304 cm⁻¹) and a shoulder band due to CD_3CN on strong Lewis acid site (2330 cm⁻¹) were observed, and the band intensity was not lower than that for Fe³⁺-mont pre-evacuated at 423 K (dashed line). In parallel, a negative band at 1625 cm⁻¹ due to adsorbed water was observed. These results indicate that water molecule coordinated to Fe³⁺ Lewis acid sites was replaced by CD_3CN , and Fe³⁺ species on the clay potentially act as Lewis acid catalyst even in the presence of water in the



Fig. 6. IR spectra of CD₃CN adsorbed on Fe^{3+} -mont after outgassing at 300 K. The sample was pre-evacuated at 300 K (solid line) or 423 K (dashed line) prior to CD₃CN adsorption at 300 K.

first coordination sphere. Several authors reported that CD₃CN adsorption on Al₂O₃ dehydrated at high temperature (typically above 873 K) resulted in the formation of CD₃CN bonded to coordinatively unsaturated Al^{3+} Lewis sites (2315–2340 cm⁻¹) [20,21]. The IR spectrum measured after CD₃CN adsorption on Al₂O₃, which was pre-evacuated at 423 K, showed a band at 2262 cm^{-1} due to H-bonded species (Fig. 4). Outgassing at 373 K led to desorption of all the H-bonded species. Taking into account the results in Fig. 3, it is shown that the surface of Al₂O₃ partially dehydrated at low temperature (423 K) has Lewis acidity toward strong base, pyridine, but does not have Lewis acidity toward weak base, CD₃CN. On the other hand, the hydrated metal cation in Fe³⁺-mont shows Lewis acidity toward weak base, CD₃CN, because coordination of CD₃CN to the metal cation is accompanied by expulsion of the water coordinating to the metal cation.

3.4. Acetone adsorption experiments

Fig. 7 presents IR spectra of Fe³⁺-mont, Yb³⁺-mont and FeCl₃/SiO₂ taken after their exposure to acetone (30 Torr) for 0.5 h and successive evacuation at certain temperature for 0.5 h. Previous IR studies of acetone adsorption on metal oxides [23-25] have established that at room temperature acetone molecule is irreversibly adsorbed on coordinatively unsaturated M^{n+} Lewis acid sites (CH₃)₂C=O \rightarrow Mⁿ⁺), which shows ν (C=O) bands around 1678–1702 cm⁻¹. It is also known that physisorbed acetone shows a ν (C=O) band at 1710–1715 cm⁻¹ [23-25]. In the spectrum of Fe³⁺-mont, bands at 1696 cm⁻¹ with shoulder bands at 1712, 1702 and 1682 cm⁻¹ were observed. A band at 1696 cm⁻¹ was also observed for FeCl₃/SiO₂. Thus, a band at 1696 cm⁻¹ and shoulder bands at 1702 and 1682 cm⁻¹ are assigned to acetone coordinated to Lewis acid sites. A shoulder band at 1712 cm⁻¹ is assigned to physisorbed acetone. For all samples evacuated at 300 K, ν (C=O) band around 1607 cm^{-1} assignable to mesityl oxide on Lewis acid site [23,24] was negligible, confirming that coordinated acetone does not undergo aldolcondensation-type reaction. The spectrum of acetone adsorbed on Yb³⁺-mont showed basically the same feature as that on Fe³⁺-mont. Desorption experiments at increasing tem-



Fig. 7. IR spectra of acetone adsorbed on various catalysts after outgassing at each temperature. Samples were pre-evacuated at 423 K prior to acetone adsorption at 300 K.

peratures were performed to investigate the stability of adsorbed acetone. The band intensity at 1696 cm^{-1} is plotted as a function of outgassing temperature in Fig. 5. For FeCl₃/SiO₂, the band completely disappeared after outgassing at 373 K, and for Yb³⁺-mont the band disappeared at 473 K. However, it was still observed for Fe³⁺-mont even after evacuation at 473 K. This indicates that acetone coordinated on Fe³⁺-mont are more



Fig. 8. Differential heat of adsorption of acetone on Fe^{3+} -mont and $FeCl_3/SiO_2$ at 323 K. Samples were pre-evacuated at 423 K prior to acetone adsorption.

strongly adsorbed than Yb³⁺-mont and FeCl₃/SiO₂ because of the higher Lewis acid strength of Fe³⁺-mont. From acetone adsorption IR results, it is shown that Fe³⁺-mont, Yb³⁺-mont and FeCl₃/SiO₂ are predominantly Lewis acidic toward a weak base acetone, and the Lewis acid strength changes in the order of Fe³⁺-mont > Yb³⁺-mont > FeCl₃/SiO₂. This is in agreement with that obtained using CD₃CN as a probe molecule.

We have carried out an acetone adsorption microcalorimetric experiment at 323 K to obtain more reliable information on Lewis acid strength of Fe³⁺-mont and FeCl₃/SiO₂. Fig. 8 compares the curves of the differential heat of acetone adsorption on Fe³⁺-mont and FeCl₃/SiO₂. Li and Shen reported that acetone adsorption on Lewis acid sites on Al₂O₃, pre-evacuated at 673 K, produced initial heats of 115 kJ mol⁻¹ assignable to acetone adsorption on strong Lewis acid site of Al₂O₃ [32]. Fe³⁺-mont showed the initial heat of adsorption of 119 kJ mol⁻¹ and a gradual drop in the heat. This indicates that Fe³⁺-mont has strong Lewis acid sites, and Lewis acid sites in Fe³⁺-mont are not homogeneous in terms of the acid strength, which is in good agreement with the presence of the ν (C=O) bands at several wavenumbers for Fe³⁺-mont (Fig. 7). FeCl₃/SiO₂ showed lower initial heat of adsorption (95 kJ mol^{-1}) . This indicates that Lewis acid strength of Fe³⁺-mont is higher than that of FeCl₃/SiO₂, which is in good agreement with IR experiments for CD₃CN and acetone adsorption (Fig. 5).

3.5. Relationship between Lewis acidity and catalytic activity

From IR results of pyridine adsorption, it is found that acid sites of Fe³⁺-mont, Yb³⁺-mont and FeCl₃/SiO₂ are predominantly Lewis acidic in nature. The metal cation acts as electron pair accepter and can potentially act as Lewis acid catalyst. This conclusion was supported by IR results for adsorption of CD₃CN and acetone. As shown in Table 2, HZSM5, a typical Brønsted acid catalyst, gave a lower yield of acetylation products than Fe³⁺-mont and FeCl₃/SiO₂ (Lewis acids), indicating that Lewis acid site is responsible for the higher activity for acetylation of cyclohexanol. Relative Lewis acid strength of these catalysts is estimated from the stability of adsorbed CD₃CN and acetone at elevated temperatures under evacuation (Fig. 5), being found to increase in the order of Fe^{3+} -mont > Yb^{3+} -mont > $FeCl_3/SiO_2$. This is further supported by the result of acetone adsorption microcalorimety. Catalytic test of M^{n+} -mont for the acetylation of cyclohexanol with acetic anhydride showed that the activity increased with the charge/radius ratio of exchanged cation (Fig. 3). Taking into account the result that M^{n+} -mont showed low level of leaching (Table 1) and FeCl₃·6H₂O dissolved in the reaction mixture (homogeneous catalyst) showed low catalytic activity, it is clear that the acetylation activity of M^{n+} -mont catalysts originates from metal cations supported on the clay, and the metal cation with higher Lewis acidity gives higher reaction rates. Although we do not have any proof of the mechanism, we assume that the reaction is initiated by coordination of Ac₂O or cyclohexanol to the metal cation (Lewis acid) site. The metal cations with higher Lewis acid strength polarize carbon oxygen bond in the coordinated species, which should result in the higher catalytic activity.

For the Lewis acid catalysis of the cation exchanged clay a fundamental question arises: why the activity of metal cations can be enhanced by loading them onto the clay, though the answer is not clarified in the literature. Fe³⁺-mont was more active than FeCl₃/SiO₂ and FeCl₃.6H₂O under the same reaction conditions (Table 2). The higher Lewis acid strength of Fe³⁺-mont than FeCl₃/SiO₂, as evidenced by microcalorimetric and IR experiments of acetone adsorption, should be responsible for the higher activity of the former catalyst. On the silicate sheet of clay, the negative charge can be dispersed over many oxygen atoms. The lower partial charge of the clay anion sheet than Cl⁻ ion may lead to higher exchangeability of the Fe³⁺ cation in the clay and consequently to the higher Lewis acid strength.

The catalytic and characterization results in this study demonstrate clear difference between the clay exchanged Lewis acid, Fe³⁺-mont, and a conventional solid Lewis acid, Al₂O₃. IR result for CD₃CN adsorption on the hydrated Fe³⁺-mont (Fig. 5) showed that water molecules coordinated to Fe³⁺ sites were replaced by CD₃CN. This implies that the Fe³⁺ cation on the clay act as Lewis acid site even in the presence of water in the first coordination sphere. On the other hand, Al₂O₃ partially dehydrated at low temperature (423 K) acts as Lewis acid toward strong base, pyridine, but does not act as Lewis acid toward weak base, CD₃CN. As shown in Table 2, the acetylation reaction was not catalyzed by Al₂O₃. From a comparison of these catalytic results with the acidic character, it is proposed that Ac₂O or cyclohexanol as a weak base can coordinate to the Fe^{3+} cation on the hydrated Fe³⁺-mont, while the weak base cannot compete with stronger base such as OH⁻ and water, which is strongly coordinated to an Al^{3+} cation on hydrated Al_2O_3 .

4. Conclusion

Among 14 types of metal ion-exchanged montmorillonite K-10 clay (M^{n+} -mont), Fe³⁺-mont is most effective for the acetylation of cyclohexanol with acetic anhydride at room temperature. Fe³⁺-mont provides a clean and convenient alternative for the acetylation reaction, because the reaction proceeds smoothly in the presence of moisture, producing acetylation

products in high yields using the heterogeneous catalyst with reusable and a non-polluting nature that offers easy handling and ready work-up. From the relationship between the activity and the acidic character of Fe³⁺-mont and reference catalysts, the following conclusion is reached. Lewis acid catalysts are more suitable for acetylation than typical Brønsted acid, HZSM5 zeolite. The order of Lewis acid strength, Fe³⁺-mont > Yb³⁺-mont > FeCl₃/SiO₂, is consistent with the order of catalytic activity, indicating that Lewis acid strength is an important factor affecting the activity. Unlike conventional heterogeneous Lewis acid, Al₂O₃, the Fe³⁺ cation in Fe³⁺-mont act as Lewis acid for weak base, CD₃CN, even in the presence of water in the first coordination sphere, which should account for the moisture-tolerant and highly effective Lewis acid catalysis of Fe³⁺-mont.

References

- [1] R.I. Zhdanov, S.M. Zhenodarova, Synthesis (1975) 222.
- [2] D. Horton, Org. Synth. Colloid 5 (1973) 1.
- [3] K. Ishihara, M. Kubota, H. Kurihara, H. Yamamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 117 (1995) 4413.
- [4] T. Mukaiyama, I. Shilina, M. Miyashita, Chem. Lett. (1992) 625.
- [5] P. Saravanan, V. Singh, Tetrahedron Lett. 40 (1999) 2611.
- [6] R. Ballini, G. Bosica, S. Carloni, L. Ciaralli, R. Maggi, G. Sartori, Tetrahedron Lett. 39 (1998) 6049.
- [7] G. Sartori, R. Ballini, F. Bigi, G. Bosica, R. Maggi, P. Righi, Chem. Rev. 104 (2004) 199.
- [8] A. Li, T. Li, T. Ding, Chem. Commun. (1997) 1389.
- [9] Y. Izumi, M. Onaka, Adv. Catal. 38 (1992) 244.
- [10] J.H. Clark, D.J. Macquarrie, Chem. Soc. Rev. (1996) 303.
- [11] J.H. Clark, S.R. Cullen, S.J. Barlow, T.W. Bastock, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 (1994) 1117.
- [12] T. Kawabata, M. Kato, T. Mizugaki, K. Ebitani, K. Kaneda, Chem. Eur. J. 11 (2005) 288.
- [13] B.M. Choudary, N.S. Chowdari, M.L. Kantam, Tetrahedron 56 (2000) 7291.
- [14] L. Jankovic, P. Komadel, J. Catal. 218 (2003) 227.
- [15] D.R. Brown, C.N. Rhodes, Catal. Lett. 45 (2001) 35.
- [16] T. Cseri, S. Bekassy, F. Figueras, S. Rizner, J. Mol. Catal. A 98 (1995) 101.
- [17] K. Shimizu, M. Miyagi, T. Kan-no, T. Hatamachi, T. Kodama, Y. Kitayama, J. Catal. 229 (2005) 470.
- [18] C.N. Rhodes, D.R. Brown, J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 91 (1995) 1031.
- [19] J. Penzien, A. Abraham, J.A. Bokhoven, A. Jentys, T.E. Muller, C. Sievers, J.A. Lercher, J. Phys. Chem. B 108 (2004) 4116.
- [20] E. Escalona Platero, M. Penarroya Mentruit, C. Morterra, Langmuir 15 (1999) 5079.
- [21] A. Travert, A. Vimont, J.-C. Lavalley, V. Montouillout, M.R. Delgado, J.J.C. Pascual, C.O. Area, J. Phys. Chem. B 108 (2004) 16499.
- [22] F. Bonino, A. Damin, S. Bordiga, C. Lamberti, A. Zecchina, Langmuir 19 (2003) 2155.
- [23] M.I. Zaki, M.A. Hasan, F.A. Al-sagheer, L. Pasupulety, Langmuir 16 (2000) 430.
- [24] M.I. Zaki, M.A. Hasan, L. Pasupulety, Langmuir 17 (2000) 768.
- [25] A. Panov, J.J. Fripiat, Langmuir 14 (1998) 3788.
- [26] F. Bigi, R. Maggi, G. Sartori, E. Zambonin, Chem. Commun. (1998) 513.
- [27] R.C. Weast, Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 51st ed., The Chemical Rubber Co., Cleveland, 1979, F-152.
- [28] R.G. Pearson, Chemical Hardness, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1997.
- [29] J. Penzien, C. Haesner, A. Jentys, K. Kohler, T.E. Muller, J.A. Lercher, J. Catal. 221 (2004) 302.
- [30] K. Tanaka, A. Ozaki, J. Catal. 8 (1967) 1.
- [31] M.I. Zaki, M.A. Hasan, F.A. Al-sagheer, L. Pasupulety, Colloids Surf. A 190 (2001) 261.
- [32] M. Li, J. Shen, J. Catal. 205 (2002) 248.